

# K-SUN BELONGS TO HELLY B2-EPG

K-SUN PERTENCE A B2-EPG-HELLY K-SUN PERTENECE A B2-EPG-HELLY

#### Tanilson Dias dos Santos

Doctor in Systems and Computing Engineering -PESC/COPPE-UFRJ. Professor of the Computer Science Course - Federal University of Tocantins, Brazil. tanilson.dias@mail.uft.edu.br.

0000-0002-0636-5751

#### Kedson Alves Silva

Bachelor of Computer Science – Federal University of Tocantins. Brazil. <u>alves.kedson@mail.uft.edu.br</u>.

0000-0003-0370-3118

#### Luis Fernando dos Santos Marinho

Bachelor of Computer Science – Federal University of Tocantins. Brazil. <u>fernando.marinho@mail.uft.edu.br.</u>

0000-0003-0412-7431

Mailing address: Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Reitoria, DIRETORIA DE COMUNICAÇÃO. Quadra 109 Norte Avenida NS 15, Plano Diretor Norte, 77001090 -Palmas, TO – Brasil

Received: 03.14.2023. Accepted: 05.16.2023. Published: 06.02.2023.

#### ABSTRACT:

In this article we explore the  $B_2$ -EPG class and the Helly property. We present generic results on EPG representations and define terms that support the other results, in addition, we finish the research with an unpublished algorithm that builds a Helly  $B_2$ -EPG representation of any *k*-sun graph.

**KEYWORDS:** *B*<sub>2</sub>-EPG; Graph Theory; Intersection Graphs; Helly Property; *k*-sun Graphs.

## Introduction

*EPG* is the acronym of Edge-intersection Graphs of Paths on a Grid. They correspond exactly to graph class of path intersection on a grid. The EPG graph class was defined by Golumbic, Lipshteyn and Stern (2009), studied later by Golumbic, Lipshteyn and Stern (2013) in addition to being explored by recent works in the literature (Asinowski; Suk, 2009; Cameron; Chaplick; Hoàng, 2013; Heldt; Knauer; Ueckerdt, 2014a; Szwarcfiter et al., 2020; Alcón; Mazzoleni; Santos, 2021; Marinho; Silva; Santos, 2023). In particular, the class of  $B_2$ -EPG graphs has been less studied, where we can cite the work of Heldt, Knauer and Ueckerdt (2014b) who addressed the bend number of planar and outerplanar graphs, Biedl and Stern (2010) who classified line graphs and bipartite planar graphs as  $B_2$ -EPG, as well as Alcón et al. (2018) and Francis and Lahiri (2016) which respectively classified the normal circlearc graphs and the Halin graphs as  $B_2$ -EPG. Among the most important works we can mention Pergel and Rzążewski (2017) that presents an NP-completeness proof for the  $B_2$ -EPG graphs

An *EPG representation* of a graph *G*, denoted by  $R_G$ , is an edge-intersection model of paths on a grid. There is a bijective relationship among the set of vertices and edges of the graph *G* and its corresponding EPG representation. We denote each vertex  $v_i \in$ V(G) as a related path  $P_{v_i}$  drawn on the grid, and two vertices  $V_i$ ,  $V_j$  are adjacent to each



other if and only if the corresponding paths  $(P_{v_i} \text{ and } P_{v_j})$  intersect in at least one edge. We denote each path of the representation as a set of edges (and not of vertices), so that the path is considered as a finite sequence of consecutive edges  $e_1 = (v_1, v_2)$ ,  $e_2 = (v_2, v_3)$ ,  $e_3 = (v_3, v_4)$ , ...,  $e_i = (v_i, v_{i+1})$ , ...,  $e_m = (v_m, v_{m+1})$ , where  $v_i \neq v_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . A *path in the representation* is a path hosted on the grid.

A bend is defined as a pair of consecutive edges,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , that have different directions on the grid. When this happens  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called bend edges. If a path has no bends it is called a segment. Still on EPG representations, we say that it is a  $B_k$ -EPG representation, when all paths of the representation have at most k bends, i.e. k changes of direction, where  $k \ge 0$  and k is an integer.

A very famous property of the *Set Theory* is the *Helly* property. This property of intersecting sets can be defined as follows: A collection of sets satisfies the Helly property when every subcollection that is mutually intersecting has at least one element in common. In an EPG representation of a graph G, we observe the Helly property with respect to edge-intersections of paths. When a collection of paths is mutually intersecting, if this collection of paths shares at least one common edge of the representation then it is a Helly representation.

**Figura 1** Sets that do not satisfy the Helly property (at left) and sets that satisfy the property (at right), respectively







**Figura 2** A graph *G* (at left), a non-Helly  $B_2$ -EPG representation of *G* (in the center), and a Helly  $B_2$ -EPG representation of *G* (at right), respectively



Figure 1 presents two families of subsets, each family of subsets is pairwise intersecting with each other, i.e. is a 2 to 2 intersecting family, or we can say that any two elements of this family have non-empty intersection. The family of sets depicted in Figure 1, at the left, is pairwise intersecting but the intersection of all the sets is empty, so it is an example of a family of sets that does not satisfy the Helly property. On the other hand, depicted in Figure 1, at the right, we have a collection of pairwise intersection of all elements is not empty, so this collection satisfies the Helly property.

We can observe the Helly property in Graph Theory. In particular, in EPG graphs we observe the Helly property of the intersections of the set of paths in the representation. In Figure 2 is depicted a graph G, at the left, with two of its possible  $B_2$ -EPG representations. In Figure 2, at the right, we have a representation where the paths  $P_{v_2}$ ,  $P_{v_3}$ ,  $P_{v_4}$  intersect and they have a common edge, so the representation is Helly. On the other hand, in Figure 2, at the center, we have a set of pairwise intersecting paths but there is no edge of the common representation, simultaneously, to these 3 paths, thus constituting a non-Helly representation.

## Methodology

In this work we adopted an exploratory and investigative research methodology. It is investigative and exploratory because its goal is to investigate or to know something that, through this research, gives a more complete point of view about the problem under study. In this work we seek to explore, in general, the  $B_2$ -EPG graph class and the related Helly property.



This research investigate the  $B_2$ -EPG graphs and generic results about relationships among paths. The following section brings some definitions and general results of the work, in addition we present algorithms that generate  $B_2$ -EPG representations of some particular graphs.

## Some Results for $B_2$ -EPG Graphs and Others

Follow we present some of the results obtained from the study of the  $B_2$ -EPG class.

a. Generic Results

Lemma 1. Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two grid lines parallel to each other, if a path  $P_1$  edgeintersects  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  then the path  $P_1$  necessarily has at least two bends.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, consider any two distinct horizontal (thus parallel) lines of the grid, say  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . If path  $P_1$  has a segment on line  $L_1$ , then it must make at least one bend to reach line  $L_2$ . However, if  $P_1$  reaches  $L_1$  and does not bend again then  $P_1$ , at most, will vertex-intersect Line  $L_2$ . Therefore, for there to be a segment of  $P_1$  on  $L_2$ ,  $P_1$  must have at least two bends.

#

Corollary 2. If the path  $P_1 \in B_2$ -EPG, where  $P_1$  has two bends, then  $P_1$  has exactly two segments in the same direction (either horizontal or vertical).

*Proof.* In a rectangular grid there are only two directions (horizontal and vertical). If path  $P_1$  has two bends, it is necessarily composed of three segments. Therefore, by the pigeonhole principle, we need to accommodate these three segments in the two possible directions, i.e., we have more segments than directions to accommodate. Inevitably we will have to accommodate two segments in one of the directions. #

Definition 3. Let  $P_1$  be a path  $B_2$ -EPG with two bends. We call the central segment of  $P_1$  the body, while the segments attached to the body are called the legs.

Corollary 4. Let  $P_1 \in B_2$ -EPG, where  $P_1$  has two bends and three segments. The body segment of  $P_1$  has the opposite direction to the leg segments of  $P_1$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 2 we know that there are two segments in the same direction. Assume by contradiction that the body and at least one of the legs have the same direction. Now, but if that happens we will have two consecutive segments of the same path in the same direction. In this case, either the segments are on the same grid line, if



they are together, one at the end of the other, they actually form the same segment. Or they are on the same line and there is a discontinuity, so it does not form a path. Or they are on different grid lines, in which case by Lemma 1 the path has more than two bends. In all cases we concluded a contradiction.

#

Corollary 5. Given  $P_1 \in B_2$ -EPG where  $P_1$  has two bends and three segments, if  $P_1$  has two segments in the same direction, then these two segments are on parallel lines of the representation grid.

*Proof.* By Corollary 4, we know that the body of  $P_1$  has the opposite direction to the direction of the legs, in addition, at each extremity of the segment of the body of  $P_1$  there is a bend for the segments of the legs. Let is consider  $P_1$  formed by the segments  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , with  $S_2$  being the body, and considering any line of the representation grid, say  $L_1$ , if  $S_2$  is on  $L_1$  from column  $C_1$  to column  $C_2$ , as  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  must have direction opposite to  $S_2$  and share 1 extremity vertex with  $S_2$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  must necessarily be one on  $C_1$  and the other on  $C_2$ . Since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are columns at the extremities of segment  $S_1$ , we conclude that  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  are therefore parallel to each other. #

Lemma 6. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two distinct path segments of an EPG representation, if  $S_1 \cap_v S_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $S_1 \cap_e S_2 = \emptyset$ , then at most  $|S_1 \cap_v S_2| = 1$ .

*Proof.* In an EPG grid representation, a path segment lies solely on a grid line. So let is say that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are on the grid lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectively, where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  can be lines of different directions, lines in the same direction but parallel or they can be the same line.

• If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are lines in the same direction but parallel, then  $|S_1 \cap_{v} S_2| = \emptyset$ ;

• If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are lines of different directions, then  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  can intersect at exactly one point, so at most  $|S_1 \cap_{\nu} S_2| = 1$ ;

• If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are the same line, for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  to share more than one vertex then they must be consecutive vertices, since they are on the same line. In the representation grid, two consecutive vertices form an edge, that way, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ share more than one consecutive vertex, then  $S_1 \cap_e S_2 = \emptyset$ , so at most  $|S_1 \cap_v S_2| =$ 1;

Given these facts, we conclude that at most  $|S_1 \cap_{\nu} S_2| = 1$ .

#



Lemma 7. Given a path  $P_1 \in B_2$ -EPG where  $P_1$  has two bends, and let  $S_2$  be a segment of the same representation. If  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| \neq \emptyset$  and  $|P_1 \cap_e S_2| = \emptyset$ , then at most  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| = 2$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 4 we know that the body of  $P_1$  has the opposite direction to the other segments. By Corollary 5,  $P_1$  is legs are not on the same grid line. By Lemma 6, we know that two segments that do not share an edge can vertex-intersect in at most one point. By contradiction, suppose that  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| > 2$ , say  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| = 3$ , so there must be a configuration where  $S_2$  vertex-intersects the three segments of  $P_1$ . By Lemma 6 we know that two segments that do not intersect on an edge can have at most one vertex in common. So for  $S_2$  to have three points of intersection with path  $P_1$ , necessarily  $S_2$  would have to intersect the three segments of  $P_1$ .

•  $S_2$  cannot be on grid lines parallel to  $P_1$  is legs, since if positioned that way  $S_2$  could vertex-intersect only one of the three segments of  $P_1$  or a bend vertex of  $P_1$ , so  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| = 1$ .

• Case  $S_2$  is on a grid line perpendicular to the lines where the two legs of  $P_1$  are positioned. If this line is the same as  $P_1$  is body is drawn, then  $S_2$  could vertex-intersect  $P_1$  only at one extremities of its body, so  $|P_1 \cap_{\nu} S_2| = 1$ . If  $S_2$  is hosted on a line that allows it to vertex-intersect the legs of  $P_1$ :

- If the legs bend to different sides: in this case  $|P_1 \cap_{v} S_2| = 1$ ;

– If both legs bend to the same side: with this configuration  $|P_1 \cap_{\nu} S_2| = 2$ , see Figure 3.

Therefore, we conclude in a contradiction because in all tested configurations, at most  $|P_1 \cap_v S_2| = 2$ .

#

Figura 3 Intersection of a segment with a B<sub>2</sub>-EPG path





## b. k-sun Graph

Definition 8. A k-sun graph  $S_k$ , with  $k \ge 3$ , consists of 2k vertices, where there is an independent set  $X = \{x_1, ..., x_k\}$  a clique  $Y = \{y_1, ..., y_k\}$  and edges  $E_1 \cup E_2$ , where  $E_1 = \{x_1y_1, y_1x_2, x_2y_2, y_2x_3, ..., x_ky_k, y_kx_1\}$  form the external cycle and  $E_2 = \{y_iy_j | i \ne j\}$  form the inner clique.

Definition 9. The mod operator is used to return the remainder of integer division. When the division is exact, it returns zero. When dividend is less than divisor, then returns the dividend itself. Examples: 4 mod 8 = 4; 12 mod 4 = 0; 10 mod 4 = 2; 5 mod 4=1.

According to results presented by Golumbic, Lipshteyn and Stern (2009), k-sun  $\notin B_1$ -EPG, for  $k \ge 4$ . Next, we prove that k-sun  $\in B_2$ -EPG for all  $k \ge 3$ . After the first feedback about a work submitted to the CSBC ETC congress, we were surprised by the fact that the result that appears in our Lemma 10 would have already been presented, in the literature, in the paper by Cela and Gaar (2019), where there is a result similar to that of this work, however, with a different approach. The distinct approach allows us to conclude the Corollary 11.

Lemma 10. The k-sun graph  $\in B_2$ -EPG.

*Proof.* Consider row  $l_0$  and column  $c_0$  as the central row and column of the representation, see Figure 2. We consider two particular cases in our construction:

• *k* even: The path  $P_{y_i}$  to i = 1, in this case  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-1}$  and  $c_{\frac{k}{2}}$ . In column  $c_{-1}$ , the path  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_1$ , whereas in column  $c_{\frac{k}{2}}$  if k mod 4 = 0, then  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ , otherwise  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_1$ .

• *k* odd: The path  $P_{y_i}$  for i = 1, has a horizontal segment on the line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-1}$  and  $c_0$ . In column  $c_{-1}$ , the path  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_1$ , whereas in column  $c_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ . The path  $P_{y_i}$  for i = k, has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_0$  and  $c_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$ . If (k+1) mod  $4 \neq 0$ , both extremities of the path  $P_{y_i}$ , respectively on columns  $c_0$  and  $c_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$  bend and extend to line  $l_{-1}$ , otherwise the path  $P_{y_i}$  bends in  $c_0$  and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ , and also bends at  $c_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$  and extends to line  $l_1$ . The path  $P_{x_i}$ , for i = k, is represented by a vertical segment on column  $c_0$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_{-1}$ .

# **Observatório**

To represent the vertices of the clique Y follow the procedure below. The algorithm represents each path  $P_{y_i}$ , where i is odd. When k is even, consider  $i \neq 1$ , and when k is odd, consider  $i \neq 1$  and  $i \neq k$ , as these paths were previously constructed by the algorithm. In this case we have two subcases, case 1.1, when  $(i+1) \mod 4 = 0$ , then  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$ , between columns  $c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i-1}{2}}$ . In column  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$ , the path  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ , while in column  $c_{\frac{i-1}{2}}$ . Py<sub>i</sub> bends and extends to line  $l_1$ ; case 1.2, when  $(i+1) \mod 4 \neq 0$ , then  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$ , between columns  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$ , Py<sub>i</sub> bends and extends to line  $l_1$ , while in column  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$ . In column column  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_1$ , while in column  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$ .

Let  $P_{y_i}$  be the path, where i is even and i mod  $4 \neq 0$ . In this case,  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-\frac{i}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$ . Both extremities of the  $P_{y_i}$  path bend, respectively in columns  $c_{-\frac{i}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$ , and extend to line  $l_1$ . Case path  $P_{y_i}$  when i is even and  $i \mod 4 = 0$ , then  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-\frac{i}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$ . Both extremities of the and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$  between and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$  between the extremities of path  $P_{y_{i'}}$  respectively in columns  $c_{-\frac{i}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$  bend and extend to line  $l_{-1}$ .

The vertices of the independent set X are represented by vertical segments that occupy different columns. Consider  $i \neq k$  when k is odd. In the case of path  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is even and i mod 4 = 0,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on column  $c_i \frac{1}{2}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_{-1}$ . For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is even and i mod  $4 \neq 0$ ,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on column  $c_i \frac{1}{2}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . The path  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is odd and  $(i+1) \mod 4 = 0$ ,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on column  $c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is odd and  $(i+1) \mod 4 = 0$ ,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on column  $c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is odd and  $(i+1) \mod 4 \neq 0$ ,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on column  $c_{\frac{-i+1}{2}}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ .





To facilitate understanding, we write an algorithm to describe the lemma 10, the code can be found in Algorithm 1, in page 19.

*Corollary 11. The k-sun graph*  $\in$  Helly B<sub>2</sub>-EPG.

*Proof.* Consider row  $l_0$  and column  $c_0$  as the central row and column of the representation. We consider in our construction three particular cases:

• *k* even: The path  $P_{y_i}$ , for i = k-1, has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_0$  and  $c_{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$ . In column  $c_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_1$ , while in column  $c_{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$   $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ . The path  $P_{y_i}$ , for i = k, has a vertical segment on column  $c_0$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . In line  $l_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_1$ , whereas in line  $l_1$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_{-\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$ . The  $P_{x_i}$  path, for i = k - 1 is represented by a vertical segment on column  $c_0$ between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ .

•  $k \text{ odd and } k \neq 3$ : The path  $P_{y_{i'}}$  for i = k - 1, has a horizontal segment on the line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-(\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-1)}$  and  $c_{\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor}$ . In column  $c_{-(\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-1)}$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ . The path  $P_{y_{i'}}$  for i = k has a vertical segment on column  $c_0$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . In line  $l_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_{\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor}$ , whereas in line  $l_1$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_{-\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor}$ . The path  $P_{x_{i'}}$  for i = k - 1 is represented by a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor-1}$  and

 $C_{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$ 

• k = 3: The path  $P_{y_{i'}}$  for i = k - 1 = 2, is represented by a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-1}$  and  $c_2$ . The path  $P_{y_{i'}}$  for i = k = 3 has a vertical segment on column  $c_0$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . In line  $l_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to



column  $c_2$ , while in line  $l_1$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_{-1}$ . The path  $P_{x_i}$ , for i = k - 1 = 2 is represented by a horizontal segment on the line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

To represent the vertices of the clique Y follow the procedures below. During the execution of the following steps if k = 3 or k = 4, consider  $-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor - 1\right) = 0$ . The path  $P_{y_i}$ , for i = 1, has a vertical segment on the column  $c_{-\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_1$ . In line  $l_1 P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_{-\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor-1}$ , whereas in line  $l_0$ ,  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to column  $c_1$ . The path  $P_{y_i}$ , for i = 2 (except when k = 3, as it was already defined earlier), has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\rfloor}$  and  $c_1$ . In column  $c_1 P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ .

For the other paths  $P_{y_i}$  that represent the vertices of the clique Y (which exclude i = 1, i = 2, i = k - 1 and i = k), do the following: For  $P_{y_i}$ , where i is odd,  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-\frac{i-1}{2}}$  and  $c_{\frac{i-1}{2}}$ , at both extremities  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ . For  $P_{y_i}$ , where i is even,  $P_{y_i}$  has a horizontal segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$ , at both extremities  $P_{y_i}$  bends and extends to line  $l_{-1}$ .

The vertices of set X are segments distributed through the representation. For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i = 1,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on line  $l_0$  between columns  $c_{-(\left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor)}$  and  $c_{-(\left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor-1)}$ . For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i = k,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a segment on line  $l_1$  between columns  $c_{-(\left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor)}$  and  $c_{-(\left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor-1)}$ . For the rest of paths  $P_{x_i}$  (which exclude i = 1, i = k-1 and i = k), do the following: For  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is even,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a vertical segment on column  $c_{\frac{i}{2}}$  between lines  $l_0$  and  $l_{-1}$ . for  $P_{x_i}$ , where i is odd,  $P_{x_i}$  is represented by a vertical segment where i = 1, i = k - 1 and k = 1.



**Figura 5** K-sun graph (at left) and a particular Helly  $B_2$ -EPG representation (at right). To facilitate visualization, we present the Corollary 11 as a code in the Algorithm 2, in page 19



## **Concluding Remarks**

This work presents a study of the  $B_2$ -EPG and Helly  $B_2$ -EPG classes. We present some general results about the  $B_2$ -EPG class approaching also geometric arrangements from paths and segments. In addition, we also present two demonstrations (by constructive algorithms) that prove the following results: k-sun graph  $\in B_2$ -EPG and ksun graph  $\in$  Helly  $B_2$ -EPG. The next steps of this research are to investigate the behavior particular of some graph classes in  $B_2$ -EPG, e.g. chordal, split, and another. We would like to know about the Helly  $B_2$ -EPG graph recognizing problem. We agree that  $B_2$ -EPG graph recognizing problem is NP-Complete, because the related results in literature, e.g.  $B_1$ -EPG graph recognizing is NP-Complete, similarly also Helly  $B_1$ -EPG graph recognizing is NP-Complete. Maybe proving the monotonicity of the hierarchy within the  $B_k$ -EPG class is also an interesting field for future work.

## References

- Alcón, L., et al. (2018). On the Bend Number of Circular-arc Graphs as Edge Intersection Graphs of Paths on a Grid. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, *234*, 12-21.
- Alcón, L., Mazzoleni, M. P., & Santos, T. D. (2021). Relationship Among B1-EPG, VPT and EPT Graphs Classes. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory.*
- Asinowski, A., & Suk, A. (2009). Edge Intersection Graphs of Systems of Paths on a Grid with a Bounded Number of Bends. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, *157*(14), 3174-3180.
- Cameron, K., Chaplick, S., & Hoàng, C. T. (2016). Edge Intersection Graphs of L-shaped Paths in Grids. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 210, 185-194.
- Cela, E., & Gaar, E. (2019). Monotonic Representations of Outerplanar Graphs as EdgeIntersection Graphs of Paths on a Grid. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01981.
- Francis, M. C., & Lahiri, A. (2016). VPG and EPG Bend-Numbers of Halin Graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics, 215*, 95-105.



Golumbic, M. C., Lipshteyn, M. & Stern, M. (2009). Edge Intersection Graphs of Single Bend Pathson a grid. *Networks: An International Journal*, *54*(3), 130-138.

- Golumbic, M. C., Lipshteyn, M., & Stern, M. (2013). Single Bend Paths on a Grid Have Strong Helly number 4: errata atque emendationes ad "Edge Intersection Graphs of Single Bend Paths on a Grid". *Networks, 62*(2), 161-163.
- Heldt, D., Knauer, K., & Ueckerdt, T. (2014). Edge-Intersection Graphs of Grid Paths: thebend number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 167, 144-162.
- Heldt, D., Knauer, K., & Ueckerdt, T. (2014). On the Bend-Number of Planar and Outerplanar graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 179, (109-119).
- Marinho, L. F. S., Silva, K. A., & Santos, T. D. B2-EPG Split. (2023). *Academic Journal on Computing, Engineering and Applied Mathematics, 4*(1), 1-7, 2023.
- Pergel, M., & Rzążewski, P. (2017). On edge intersection graphs of paths with 2 bends. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 226, 106-116.
- Stern, M., Biedl, T. (2010). On Edge-Intersection Graphs of k-Bend Paths in Grids. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 12*(1), 1-12.
- Szwarcfiter, J. L., et al. (2020). The Complexity of Helly-B<sub>1</sub>-EPG Graph Recognition. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, *22*.

### **RESUMO:**

Neste artigo exploramos a classe de grafos  $B_2$ -EPG e a propriedade Helly. Apresentamos resultados genéricos sobre representações EPG e definimos termos que suportam os demais resultados, além disso, apresentamos um algoritmo inédito que constrói uma representação B\_2-EPG-Helly de qualquer grafo k-sun.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** B<sub>2</sub>-EPG; Teoria dos Grafos; Grafos de Interseção; Propriedade Helly; Grafos *k*-sun.

#### **RESUMEN:**

En este artículo exploramos la clase  $B_2$ -EPG la propiedad Helly. Presentamos y resultados genéricos sobre las representaciones EPG y definimos términos que respaldan los otros resultados, además, finalizamos la investigación con un algoritmo original que construye una representación B2-EPG -Helly de cualquier grafo k-sun.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:**  $B_2$ -EPG; Teoría de Grafos; Grafos de Intersección; Propiedad Helly; Grafos k-sun.